UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/006,763	12/06/2001	Jeffrey S. Larson	WAVE1100-1	2045
Robert C. Kowert Meyertons, Hood, Kivlin, Kowert & Goetzel, P.C.			EXAMINER	
			TRAN, MAI T	
P.O. Box 398 Austin, TX 78767-0398			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2129	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/17/2008	PAPER

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/006,763	LARSON ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Mai T. Tran	2129
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tind d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23.      This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .      Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal matters, pre	
Disposition of Claims		
4)  Claim(s) 1-59 is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 1-59 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/ Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examin	rawn from consideration.  /or election requirement.  ner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according a decision to a deposition to the deposition and the second state of th	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ejected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicat iority documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5)  Notice of Informal I 6)  Other:	ate

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### **REMARKS**

Applicants' amendment dated July 23, 2007 responding to the February 21, 2007 Office Action provided in the rejection of claims 1-59, wherein claims 1, 11-12, 21, 27, 33, 36, 41, 48, and 52 have been amended and no new claims have been added. Claims 1-59 remain pending in the application and which have been fully considered by the examiner.

The Examiner withdraws the finality of last Office Action.

#### **SPECIFICATION**

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

- 1. Page 2, paragraph [0003], line 7: "may a use an email account". It is not grammatically correct.
- 2. Page 15, paragraph [0045], line 3: "As such, fore example". Spelling is incorrect.

Appropriate correction is required.

#### **CLAIM OBJECTIONS**

Claims 2, 32, and 38 are objected to because of the following informalities:

1. <u>Claim 2</u> on page 3 line 3 recites the following limitation: "with the corresponding user *form* said set of users". It should be "from" said set of users.

Application/Control Number: 10/006,763 Page 3

Art Unit: 2129

2. <u>Claim 32</u> on page 10 line 7 recites the following limitation: "includes *an* resource account list". It should be "a resource account list".

3. <u>Claim 38</u> on page 12 line 10 recites the following limitation: "information object corresponding to said user; and". The status identifier of this claim indicates "original." However, applicants remove one of the limitations that were presented originally. Applicants need to change the status identifier of this claim accordingly, also remove the word ";and" and add a period at the end of the claim.

Appropriate correction is required.

## CLAIM REJECTIONS - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1, 12, 33, 36, 41, 48, and 52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The preamble of these claims 1, 12, 33, 36, 41, 48, and 52 indicates they are "method claim." However, the body of these claims recites the limitation: "a computer program process." Examiner interprets a computer program process to be just computer instructions i.e. software

per se. Therefore, the claimed language creates the indefiniteness since these claims have a deficiency in them due to not particularly pointing out the claimed subject matter.

- Claims 21 and 27 recite the limitation "said computer readable memory" in line 4.
   There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 3. Claims 1-10 and 48-50, claims 12-20 and 41-47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being unduly multiplied. Applicants present an unreasonable number of claims which are repetitious and multiplied, the net result of which is to confuse rather than to clarify (See 35 C.F.R. 1.75 (b) and MPEP 2173.05(n)).

  Applicants' reply must include a selection of claims for purpose of examination. A selection needs to be made between 2 sets: claims 1-10 and claims 48-50. Also, a selection needs to be made between 2 sets: claims 12-20 and claims 41-47.

#### **CORRESPONDENCE INFORMATION**

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mai T. Tran whose telephone number is (571)272-4238. The examiner can normally be reached on 10:00 am - 6:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David R. Vincent can be reached on (571) 272-3080. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/006,763 Page 5

Art Unit: 2129

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/mtt/

Examiner, Art Unit 2129

/David R Vincent/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2129